

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 22 MARCH 1972RA
REVISED AND REISSUED 24 MARCH 1985

Remimeo
EstOs
HCOs
MAAs/EOs
Execs
Ethics Officer
checksheets

(This HCOB was originally issued in 1972.
It was subsequently revised several times
by others and finally cancelled illegally,
losing the valid tech it contained to use.
It has been updated and is reissued here
for use by Execs and EstOs.)

(Revisions not in script)

DISAGREEMENT CHECK

(Reference:

HCO PL 19 Jan 66 DANGER CONDITION, RESPONSIBILITIES OF
DECLARING)

(Modifies:

HCO B 19 Jan 66 DANGER CONDITIONS TECHNICAL DATA
FOR REVIEW AUDITORS)

(These issues remain cancelled:

HCO B 22 Mar 72 DISAGREEMENT CHECK

HCO B 22 Mar 72R DISAGREEMENT REMEDY
Rev. 12.7.73

BTB 22 Mar 72R DISAGREEMENT REMEDY
Rev. 12.7.73
Reiss. 6.7.74

BTB 22 Mar 72RA DISAGREEMENT REMEDY
Rev. 11.1.77)

Handling staff members, students, auditors, pcs or field
personnel with disagreements does not always resolve readily
with misunderstood word handling. There are other sources for
disagreements.

HCO Policy Letter of 19 Jan 66, DANGER CONDITION, RESPON-
SIBILITIES OF DECLARING states that an Executive declaring
a Danger Condition "should make an effort to find the disagree-
ment on all persons found responsible for a Danger Condition."
There is also apparently a relationship between a person with
a low leadership survey and lots of disagreements.

A Disagreement Check consists of the following steps which
are done by a Class III or above Auditor. This rundown can also
be done on a preclear in the HGC as part of his program. The
rundown requires C/S clearance. (Ref: HCOB 28 Sep 82, C/S Series
115, MIXING RUNDOWNS & REPAIRS)

PROCEDURE

STEP ONE:

Fly all ruds.

STEP TWO:

Disagreement List One (DL-1)

Clear each of the words in the following question and list, then assess it Method 5 (assess the whole list and take up reading items in order of longest reads):

"ARE THERE ANY DISAGREEMENTS WITH:

- (A) A SENIOR _____
- (B) YOUR POST _____
- (C) THE ORG _____
- (D) TECHNOLOGY _____
- (E) ORDERS _____
- (F) POLICY _____
- (G) PROJECTS _____
- (H) PROGRAMMES _____
- (I) SCIENTOLOGY _____
- (J) DIANETICS _____
- (K) SOME FORMER SIMILAR SUBJECT _____
- (L) SOME OTHER SIMILAR SUBJECT OR AREA _____

Note: If K or L reads, get what the subject or area is before going on to the next step.

This assessment will locate most organizational disagreements but the C/S may add additional subjects to suit the case at his discretion.

STEP THREE:

Give the pc an R-factor that you are going to take up any and all disagreements and handle one at a time. Clear and ask "DO YOU HAVE ANY DISAGREEMENT ON __ (item from DL-1) __?" Get the disagreement stated briefly. Note any SFs, Fs, LFs, BDs when the question is asked and as pc says the disagreement. If pc gives a disagreement and gets no reads or BD, ask "DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER DISAGREEMENT ON (item from DL-1)?" If still no reading disagreements coming up, check the item itself for False and Protest and handle accordingly. Do not run a non-reading disagreement in the next step.

At this point PreOTs, OT III and above should be handled per HCOB 4 Jul 79, CONFIDENTIAL — HANDLING CORRECTION LISTS ON OTs.

STEP FOUR:

Clear the words in the following list and assess it Method 3, handling each reading item with 2WC earlier similar to F/N.

Disagreement List Two (DL-2)

"In relation to that disagreement is there:

- (1) AN ALTERED SEQUENCE _____
- (2) OMITTED DATA _____
- (3) DROPPED OUT TIME _____
- (4) A FALSEHOOD _____
- (5) AN ALTERED IMPORTANCE _____
- (6) A WRONG TARGET _____
- (7) A WRONG SOURCE _____
- (8) CONTRARY FACTS _____
- (9) ADDED TIME _____
- (10) ADDED INAPPLICABLE DATA _____
- (11) AN INCORRECTLY INCLUDED DATUM _____
- (12) ASSUMED "IDENTITIES" WHICH WERE NOT IDENTICAL _____
- (13) ASSUMED "SIMILARITIES" WHICH WERE NOT SIMILAR OR THE SAME CLASS OF THING _____
- (14) ASSUMED DIFFERENCES WHICH WERE NOT DIFFERENT _____
- (15) AN INCONSISTENCY _____
- (16) A MISUNDERSTOOD WORD OR SYMBOL
(Handle with Word Clearing Method 4 to F/N.) _____
- (17) DEV-T _____
- (18) CROSS ORDERS _____
- (19) COUNTER INTENTION _____

If the disagreement has not been cleaned up to VGIs after completing this assessment and handling reading items, the list (DL-2) may be run through again to catch anything missed on the first assessment.

STEP FIVE:

Clear and ask "IS THERE ANY OTHER DISAGREEMENT ON__ (item from DL-1)___?" Handle any reading disagreements given as per Steps Three and Four until the pc has no more disagreements on that subject and F/N VGIs.

STEP SIX:

Clear and run "IS THERE ANYTHING ON ___(DL-1 item just run above)___ YOU AGREE WITH?" 2WC any reading answer earlier similar to F/N.

STEP SEVEN:

Take up the next best reading item from DL-1 and handle as per Steps Three to Six to F/N VGIs and no more disagreements on that subject.

STEP EIGHT:

Handle all remaining reading items in order of reads until all reading items on DL-1 have been handled fully as per Steps Three to Six above.

STEP NINE:

Re-assess the Disagreement List One, which should now be an F/Ning list. Any reading items should be handled in order of greatest reads as per Steps Three to Seven until DL-1 is an F/Ning list with VGIs.

USE

Qual personnel are referred to HCO Policy Letter 28 Dec 67, QUAL SENIOR DATUM when handling persons sent for Disagreement Checks. It is up to the Qual Sec or Dir Personnel Enhancement to interview the person and ascertain the correct handling and correction. Qual is not obliged to follow any orders re the handling of students, staff or pcs, but they are expected to fully handle and correct each person properly.

END PHENOMENA

The EP of the Disagreement Check is an F/Ning list with VGIs and the pc free of disagreements on the subjects handled.

SUMMARY

Standardly audited and C/Sed and used as part of a full Danger handling program, this rundown provides an invaluable tool for cleaning up persons who have gotten into a Danger Condition.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER

LRH:rw:dk